Comparative Analysis of Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in the Diagnosis

Chirath Nuwan Fernando
University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda 10250, Sri Lanka

Dr. Roshni Tandey
Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1873-9634

Abstract

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) are both diagnostic techniques used to visualize the bile ducts, pancreatic duct, and gallbladder. They are particularly useful in diagnosing conditions such as gallstones, tumors, and inflammation in these areas. This study aims to provide a comprehensive comparative analysis between Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). The analysis focuses on eight key parameters: method of procedure, indications, risks, duration, preparation, recovery, cost, and accuracy. MRCP is a non-invasive imaging technique that primarily serves diagnostic purposes and poses minimal risks to the patient. It is generally quicker, less expensive, and requires less preparation than ERCP. On the other hand, ERCP is an invasive procedure that is both diagnostic and therapeutic in nature. It carries higher risks such as pancreatitis, infections, and bleeding but offers the advantage of direct visualization and the ability to perform interventions like biopsies and stent placements. The duration and cost of ERCP can vary significantly depending on whether therapeutic interventions are needed. The choice between these two techniques is often dictated by the clinical scenario, the suspected diagnosis, and the need for therapeutic intervention.

Indexing terms: MRCP, ERCP, Biliary and Pancreatic Disorders, Diagnostic Techniques, Therapeutic Interventions

Introduction

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique that utilizes magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to visualize the biliary and pancreatic ducts [1], [2]. This diagnostic procedure is particularly useful for identifying obstructions [3], tumors, or other abnormalities in these ducts. Unlike endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), which is invasive and involves the use of endoscopy and X-rays, MRCP does not require the insertion of a catheter or contrast dye injection into the ducts. The absence of ionizing radiation makes it a safer alternative for certain groups of patients, such as pregnant women or individuals with allergies to iodinated contrast media. The high-resolution images produced by MRCP offer detailed anatomical views, aiding in the accurate diagnosis and treatment planning for conditions like gallstones, chronic pancreatitis, and bile duct cancers.

The procedure for MRCP is relatively straightforward and typically takes between 15 to 45 minutes to complete. Patients are positioned inside an MRI machine, and a series of images are captured using magnetic fields and radio waves. The patient may be asked to hold their breath briefly during the imaging to reduce motion artifacts. Since MRCP is non-invasive, it does not require sedation or anesthesia, which minimizes the risks associated with these interventions. However, patients with certain types of implants, such as pacemakers or cochlear implants, may not be suitable candidates for MRCP due to the strong magnetic fields involved in the procedure [4].

One of the primary advantages of MRCP is its ability to provide high-quality images that can be reconstructed in multiple planes, offering a comprehensive view of the biliary and pancreatic systems. This is particularly beneficial for preoperative planning or for evaluating the extent of disease. For instance, in cases of cholangiocarcinoma, a type of bile duct cancer, MRCP can help determine the location and size of the tumor, as well as its relationship with surrounding structures. This information is crucial for surgeons to decide the best surgical approach and to assess the feasibility of complete tumor resection.

Despite its advantages, MRCP is not without limitations. The quality of images can be affected by patient movement, intestinal gas, or the presence of metallic implants. Additionally, MRCP may not be as effective as ERCP in visualizing small stones or subtle changes in the ductal lining. It is also generally less effective for functional assessment of the biliary and pancreatic systems, such as measuring pressure within the ducts. Furthermore, unlike ERCP, MRCP does not allow for therapeutic interventions like stone removal or stent placement during the procedure. Therefore, in some cases, a subsequent ERCP may still be required for treatment after diagnostic evaluation with MRCP.

In the healthcare landscape, MRCP serves as an invaluable tool for the diagnosis and management of biliary and pancreatic disorders. Its non-invasive nature, combined with the ability to produce high-resolution images, makes it a preferred choice for initial evaluation in many clinical scenarios. However, it is essential for clinicians to consider the specific needs and conditions of each patient when choosing between MRCP and other diagnostic methods. The decision should be based on a thorough evaluation of the risks and benefits, as well as the diagnostic and therapeutic requirements of the case at hand.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is an advanced endoscopic technique used for the diagnosis and treatment of diseases affecting the biliary and pancreatic ductal systems. Unlike Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), ERCP is an invasive procedure that combines endoscopy and fluoroscopy to visualize the internal structures and obtain real-time X-ray images. During the procedure, an endoscope is inserted through the patient's mouth and advanced through the esophagus, stomach, and into the duodenum. A contrast dye is then injected into the biliary and pancreatic ducts, and X-ray images are taken to assess the anatomy and identify any abnormalities such as blockages, stones, or tumors. ERCP allows for both diagnostic evaluation and therapeutic intervention, making it a versatile tool in the management of various gastrointestinal conditions [5], [6].

The procedure for ERCP usually takes between 30 minutes to two hours, depending on the complexity of the case and whether therapeutic interventions are required. Patients are typically sedated or given general anesthesia to ensure comfort and minimize movement during the procedure. Once the endoscope is in place, the physician can perform a range of interventions, such as sphincterotomy (cutting the muscle that controls the opening of the ducts), stone extraction, or stent placement to relieve obstructions. These capabilities make ERCP a valuable option for conditions that require immediate treatment, such as acute cholangitis or pancreatitis caused by bile duct obstruction.

One of the major advantages of ERCP is its dual diagnostic and therapeutic utility. For example, in cases of suspected bile duct stones, the procedure can confirm the diagnosis and simultaneously remove the stones, eliminating the need for a separate surgical procedure. Similarly, in patients with pancreatic cancer, ERCP can be used to obtain tissue samples for biopsy and place a stent to relieve obstructive jaundice, thereby facilitating subsequent surgical or oncological treatments. This ability to provide immediate treatment often results in quicker symptom relief and may reduce the overall healthcare costs and hospital stay duration for the patient.

However, ERCP is not without risks and limitations. Being an invasive procedure, it carries the potential for complications such as infection, bleeding, and perforation of the gastrointestinal tract. Post-ERCP pancreatitis is another significant concern, occurring in approximately 5% to 10% of cases. Therefore, patient selection is crucial, and ERCP is generally reserved for cases where the expected benefits outweigh the risks. Additionally, the procedure requires specialized training and expertise, limiting its availability to tertiary care centers or facilities with experienced endoscopists. Unlike MRCP, ERCP exposes the patient to ionizing radiation, which may be a concern for certain populations such as pregnant women.

In clinical practice, the choice between ERCP and other diagnostic modalities like MRCP often depends on the specific clinical scenario, the need for therapeutic intervention, and the risk profile of the patient. ERCP remains a cornerstone in the management of complex biliary and pancreatic diseases, offering a unique combination of diagnostic imaging and therapeutic capabilities. However, its invasive nature and associated risks necessitate careful patient selection and the involvement of a multidisciplinary team, including gastroenterologists, surgeons, and radiologists, to ensure optimal outcomes.

Comparative analysis

Method of Procedure

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a specialized form of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) that focuses on the hepatobiliary and pancreatic systems, including the liver, gallbladder, bile ducts, and pancreas. Unlike traditional invasive procedures like endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), MRCP is non-invasive and does not require the use of contrast dyes injected into the body. The technique employs powerful magnetic fields and radio waves to generate detailed, cross-sectional images of the internal structures. This allows for a comprehensive examination of the ductal systems, aiding in the diagnosis of conditions such as gallstones, tumors, and inflammation, among others [11], [12].

The procedure for MRCP is relatively straightforward and generally takes between 15 to 45 minutes to complete. Patients are placed inside an MRI machine where they must remain still to ensure the clarity of the images. The machine's magnetic field aligns the hydrogen atoms in the body, and when subjected to radio waves, these atoms emit signals that are captured and converted into images by the MRI system. The images are then compiled to create a detailed visual representation of the hepatobiliary and pancreatic regions. The non-invasive nature of MRCP makes it a preferable option for patients who are at risk of complications from more invasive diagnostic methods [13], [14].

One of the significant advantages of MRCP over other imaging techniques is its ability to produce high-resolution images that offer excellent soft tissue contrast. This is particularly useful for identifying abnormalities in the bile and pancreatic ducts, which are often difficult to visualize using other imaging modalities like ultrasound or computed tomography (CT) scans. The high-quality images allow for a more accurate diagnosis, facilitating timely and appropriate medical intervention. Moreover, MRCP can be combined with other MRI sequences to provide a more comprehensive view of the abdominal region, enhancing its diagnostic utility.

However, MRCP is not without limitations. The quality of the images can be affected by patient movement, and the procedure may be uncomfortable for some individuals due to the confined space of the MRI machine. Additionally, the technique is generally more expensive than other imaging options, which may limit its accessibility for some patients. It is also contraindicated for individuals with certain types of medical implants, such as pacemakers, due to the strong magnetic fields involved in the procedure.

Despite these challenges, MRCP remains a valuable diagnostic tool in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology. Its non-invasive nature, combined with its ability to produce high-quality images, makes it a preferred choice for diagnosing a wide range

of conditions affecting the liver, gallbladder, bile ducts, and pancreas. As technology advances, it is likely that MRCP will continue to evolve, offering even greater precision and utility in the diagnosis and management of hepatobiliary and pancreatic diseases.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is an invasive diagnostic and therapeutic procedure that is primarily used to examine the bile and pancreatic ducts. Unlike non-invasive imaging techniques such as Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), ERCP involves the insertion of an endoscope through the patient's mouth, down the esophagus, through the stomach, and into the duodenum, which is the initial segment of the small intestine. Once the endoscope is in place, a contrast dye is injected into the bile and pancreatic ducts to enhance their visibility under X-ray imaging. This allows for a detailed examination of these ductal systems and aids in the diagnosis and treatment of conditions such as gallstones, strictures, and tumors.

The procedure is typically performed under sedation to ensure patient comfort. After the endoscope is carefully navigated to the appropriate location, a catheter is passed through the endoscope to inject the contrast dye into the ducts. X-ray images are then taken to visualize the flow of the dye and identify any abnormalities in the bile and pancreatic ducts. The images provide valuable information that can guide further treatment, including the removal of obstructions or the placement of stents to facilitate the flow of bile or pancreatic fluids. ERCP can also be used to collect tissue samples for biopsy, offering additional diagnostic capabilities.

One of the primary advantages of ERCP is its dual diagnostic and therapeutic utility. Not only can it provide detailed images of the bile and pancreatic ducts, but it also allows for immediate intervention if an abnormality is detected. This eliminates the need for a separate procedure, thereby reducing the overall treatment time and potentially improving patient outcomes. However, the invasive nature of ERCP means that it carries a higher risk of complications compared to non-invasive methods. These risks include infection, bleeding, and perforation of the digestive tract, among others.

Despite its invasive nature, ERCP is considered a relatively safe procedure when performed by experienced healthcare professionals. Pre-procedure assessments are conducted to evaluate the patient's suitability for ERCP and to minimize the risk of complications. Patients are closely monitored during and after the procedure to ensure their well-being and to promptly address any adverse events. Post-procedure care often involves observation for several hours to monitor for complications such as pancreatitis, which is an inflammation of the pancreas and one of the more common complications associated with ERCP.

Indications

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a specialized form of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) that is primarily used for diagnostic purposes, particularly for visualizing the bile and pancreatic ducts. The technique is especially useful for identifying obstructions, tumors, or anomalies within these ductal systems. Unlike invasive procedures such as Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), MRCP is non-invasive and does not require the injection of contrast dye into the body. The procedure employs magnetic fields and radio waves to generate detailed images, allowing healthcare providers to assess the condition of the bile and pancreatic ducts without the need for surgical intervention.

The MRCP procedure typically takes between 15 to 45 minutes and is performed with the patient lying inside an MRI machine. The machine's magnetic field aligns the hydrogen atoms in the body, and when subjected to radio waves, these atoms emit signals that are captured and converted into images. These images are then compiled to create a comprehensive visual representation of the bile and pancreatic ducts. This is particularly useful for diagnosing conditions such as cholangiocarcinoma, pancreatic cancer, and gallstones, as well as for identifying any structural anomalies like strictures or dilations in the ducts.

One of the key advantages of MRCP is its ability to produce high-resolution images with excellent soft tissue contrast. This enables healthcare providers to make more accurate diagnoses, which in turn facilitates timely and appropriate medical intervention. The non-invasive nature of MRCP also makes it a preferable option for patients who are at risk of complications from more invasive diagnostic methods, or for those who may be allergic to contrast dyes commonly used in other imaging techniques. Moreover, MRCP can be combined with other MRI sequences to provide a more comprehensive view of the abdominal region, thereby enhancing its diagnostic utility.

However, MRCP does have some limitations. For instance, the quality of the images can be compromised if the patient moves during the procedure. Additionally, the MRI machine's confined space may cause discomfort for some individuals, particularly those with claustrophobia. The technique is also generally more expensive than other imaging options, which may limit its accessibility for some patients. Furthermore, MRCP is contraindicated for individuals with certain types of medical implants, such as pacemakers, due to the strong magnetic fields involved in the procedure.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is a medical procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, particularly for conditions affecting the bile and pancreatic ducts. Unlike non-invasive imaging techniques such as Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), ERCP is invasive and involves the use of an endoscope that is inserted through the mouth, down the esophagus, through the stomach, and into the duodenum, the first part of the small intestine. Once the endoscope is in position, a contrast dye is injected into the bile and pancreatic ducts, and X-rays are taken to visualize these areas. This dual functionality makes ERCP a versatile tool, as it can be used for diagnostic purposes like taking biopsies, and for therapeutic interventions such as the removal of gallstones or the placement of stents to facilitate fluid flow.

The procedure is generally performed under sedation to ensure patient comfort. After the endoscope is navigated to the appropriate location, a catheter is passed through it to inject the contrast dye into the ducts. X-ray images are then taken to visualize any abnormalities. If a problem is identified, immediate therapeutic action can be taken. For example, if gallstones are detected in the bile duct, they can be removed during the same procedure using specialized instruments passed through the endoscope. Similarly, if a stricture or blockage is found, a stent can be placed to facilitate the flow of bile or pancreatic juice.

One of the primary advantages of ERCP is its ability to provide immediate therapeutic intervention following diagnosis. This eliminates the need for a separate procedure, reducing the overall treatment time and potentially improving patient outcomes. For instance, biopsies can be taken for histological examination, providing valuable information for the diagnosis and treatment of conditions such as cancer. The ability to perform multiple functions in a single procedure makes ERCP a cost-effective option for both diagnosis and treatment, despite its relatively high initial cost compared to other imaging modalities.

However, the invasive nature of ERCP means that it carries a higher risk of complications compared to non-invasive methods. These risks include infection, bleeding, and perforation of the digestive tract. There is also the risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis, which is inflammation of the pancreas and one of the more common complications associated with this procedure. Therefore, thorough pre-procedure assessments are conducted to evaluate the patient's suitability for ERCP, and patients are closely monitored during and after the procedure to manage any potential complications.

Despite these risks, ERCP remains a valuable tool in the diagnosis and treatment of hepatobiliary and pancreatic conditions. Its dual functionality makes it a preferred choice for healthcare providers dealing with complex cases that require both diagnostic

imaging and immediate therapeutic intervention. As medical technology continues to advance, it is likely that improvements in ERCP techniques and equipment will further enhance its efficacy and safety, making it an even more indispensable tool in the field of gastroenterology.

Risks

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique that is primarily used for diagnostic purposes, particularly for visualizing the bile and pancreatic ducts. One of the most significant advantages of MRCP is its minimal risk profile, especially when compared to invasive procedures like Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). Because MRCP does not involve the insertion of an endoscope or the injection of contrast dye into the body, the risks of infection, bleeding, and perforation of the digestive tract are virtually eliminated. The procedure employs magnetic fields and radio waves to generate detailed images, allowing healthcare providers to assess the condition of the bile and pancreatic ducts without the need for surgical intervention.

The MRCP procedure typically takes between 15 to 45 minutes and is performed with the patient lying inside an MRI machine. The machine's magnetic field aligns the hydrogen atoms in the body, and when subjected to radio waves, these atoms emit signals that are captured and converted into images. These images are then compiled to create a comprehensive visual representation of the bile and pancreatic ducts. This is particularly useful for diagnosing conditions such as cholangiocarcinoma, pancreatic cancer, and gallstones, as well as for identifying any structural anomalies like strictures or dilations in the ducts [33], [34].

One of the key advantages of MRCP is its ability to produce high-resolution images with excellent soft tissue contrast. This enables healthcare providers to make more accurate diagnoses, which in turn facilitates timely and appropriate medical intervention. The non-invasive nature of MRCP also makes it a preferable option for patients who are at risk of complications from more invasive diagnostic methods, or for those who may be allergic to contrast dyes commonly used in other imaging techniques. Moreover, MRCP can be combined with other MRI sequences to provide a more comprehensive view of the abdominal region, thereby enhancing its diagnostic utility.

However, MRCP does have some limitations. For instance, the quality of the images can be compromised if the patient moves during the procedure. Additionally, the MRI machine's confined space may cause discomfort for some individuals, particularly those with claustrophobia. While the risks associated with MRCP are minimal, the experience of being in a confined space for an extended period can be distressing for some patients, and in extreme cases, may require the procedure to be terminated. Despite these challenges, MRCP remains a valuable diagnostic tool for assessing the bile and pancreatic ducts. Its non-invasive nature, combined with its ability to produce high-quality images, makes it a preferred choice for diagnosing a wide range of conditions affecting these ductal systems. As imaging technology continues to advance, it is likely that MRCP will see further improvements in both its precision and utility, thereby solidifying its role as a critical tool in the diagnosis and management of hepatobiliary and pancreatic diseases.

Duration and Preparation

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique primarily used for diagnostic purposes. One of the notable features of MRCP is its relatively short duration, usually taking between 15 to 45 minutes to complete. The patient lies inside an MRI machine, and the procedure employs magnetic fields and radio waves to generate detailed images of the bile and pancreatic ducts. The short duration is advantageous for patient comfort and allows for quicker throughput, meaning more patients can be accommodated in a given timeframe. This is particularly beneficial in clinical settings where timely diagnosis is crucial for initiating appropriate treatment. However, the patient must remain still during the procedure to ensure the

clarity of the images, which can be challenging for some individuals, particularly those who experience discomfort or claustrophobia in confined spaces.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), on the other hand, is an invasive procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. The duration of an ERCP procedure can vary significantly, taking anywhere from 30 minutes to several hours. The length of the procedure is influenced by the complexity of the case and whether therapeutic interventions, such as the removal of gallstones or the placement of stents, are required. The procedure involves the insertion of an endoscope through the mouth, down the esophagus, through the stomach, and into the duodenum. A contrast dye is then injected into the bile and pancreatic ducts, and X-rays are taken to visualize these areas. If abnormalities are detected, immediate therapeutic actions can be taken, which extends the duration of the procedure.

The variable duration of ERCP can be both an advantage and a limitation. On one hand, the ability to perform therapeutic interventions immediately after diagnosis is a significant benefit, as it eliminates the need for a separate procedure. On the other hand, the longer duration and the invasive nature of ERCP mean that it carries higher risks of complications, such as infection, bleeding, and pancreatitis. Patients are generally sedated during the procedure, which adds another layer of complexity and potential risk, including adverse reactions to the sedative medications.

The shorter duration of MRCP makes it a more convenient option for many patients, particularly those who are not good candidates for invasive procedures due to other medical conditions or risks. However, MRCP is purely diagnostic and does not allow for immediate therapeutic interventions. Therefore, if an abnormality is detected that requires immediate action, a separate therapeutic procedure will be necessary, which could delay treatment.

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique used primarily for diagnostic purposes, particularly for visualizing the bile and pancreatic ducts. One of the pre-procedure requirements for MRCP is that patients might be asked to fast for a few hours before undergoing the scan. The fasting period helps to reduce the amount of fluid and gas in the stomach and intestines, which can interfere with the clarity of the images. Since MRCP is non-invasive and does not involve the use of sedatives or contrast dye injections, the pre-procedure preparations are generally less stringent compared to more invasive methods. However, patients may still need to remove any metal objects, such as jewelry, as these can interfere with the magnetic fields used in the MRI machine.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), in contrast, is an invasive procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. The pre-procedure requirements for ERCP are more extensive than those for MRCP. Patients are generally required to fast for at least 8 hours before the procedure to ensure that the stomach and duodenum are empty, reducing the risk of aspiration during sedation. Additionally, patients might need to stop taking certain medications, especially those that affect blood clotting, such as aspirin or anticoagulants, to minimize the risk of bleeding during the procedure. A thorough review of the patient's medical history is conducted, and additional diagnostic tests may be performed to assess the patient's suitability for the procedure.

The more stringent pre-procedure requirements for ERCP reflect its invasive nature and the associated risks, such as infection, bleeding, and pancreatitis. The fasting period and medication adjustments are essential for minimizing these risks and ensuring the safety of the patient. Patients are also closely monitored during the procedure, and sedatives are generally administered to manage pain and discomfort. The use of sedatives, while enhancing patient comfort, also carries its own set of risks, such as respiratory depression, which necessitates close monitoring.

The pre-procedure fasting requirement for MRCP, while less stringent, is still important for ensuring the quality of the images. Poor image quality can lead to inconclusive results, requiring the procedure to be repeated or followed up with more invasive diagnostic methods. Therefore, adherence to pre-procedure guidelines is crucial for both MRCP and ERCP to ensure the effectiveness of the diagnostic process.

Cost, Recovery

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique that is primarily used for diagnostic purposes. One of the advantages of MRCP is the minimal post-procedure downtime. Patients can usually go home immediately after the scan is completed and resume their normal activities. Since MRCP does not involve the use of sedatives or invasive techniques, there is generally no need for extended post-procedure monitoring. This makes MRCP a convenient option for many patients, particularly those who are not good candidates for invasive procedures due to other medical conditions or risks. The ability to quickly return to normal activities is also beneficial in clinical settings where rapid diagnosis and treatment are essential.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), on the other hand, is an invasive procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Due to its invasive nature and the use of sedatives, the post-procedure requirements for ERCP are more extensive than those for MRCP. Patients might need to stay in the hospital for observation for a few hours to several days, depending on the complexity of the procedure and whether any therapeutic interventions were performed. The duration of the hospital stay is also influenced by the occurrence of any complications, such as infection, bleeding, or pancreatitis, which require immediate medical attention and possibly further intervention.

The need for a potentially extended hospital stay following ERCP reflects the procedure's higher risk profile compared to MRCP. Patients are closely monitored during the post-procedure period to manage any adverse effects or complications. Vital signs are regularly checked, and any signs of discomfort or complications are promptly addressed. Depending on the nature of the therapeutic interventions performed, additional tests may be conducted to assess the effectiveness of the treatment and to plan further medical care, if necessary.

The post-procedure requirements for ERCP can also have implications for healthcare resource utilization, including hospital beds and medical staff. The longer hospital stay and the need for post-procedure monitoring increase the overall cost of ERCP compared to MRCP. However, the ability to perform therapeutic interventions immediately after diagnosis can offset some of these costs by eliminating the need for a separate procedure.

In summary, the post-procedure requirements for MRCP and ERCP differ significantly due to the nature of the respective procedures. MRCP, being non-invasive, allows patients to go home immediately and resume normal activities, making it a convenient and low-risk option for diagnostic imaging. ERCP, however, often requires a hospital stay for post-procedure monitoring due to its invasive nature and the associated risks. The duration of the hospital stay varies depending on the complexity of the procedure and the occurrence of any complications. Both procedures have their own sets of advantages and limitations, and the choice between the two will depend on various factors, including the patient's medical condition and the diagnostic and therapeutic needs.

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique primarily used for diagnostic purposes, particularly for visualizing the bile and pancreatic ducts. One of the financial advantages of MRCP is that it is generally less expensive than Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). The lower cost is largely due to the non-invasive nature of the procedure, which eliminates the need for sedation, specialized surgical facilities, and extended post-procedure

monitoring. Additionally, the absence of therapeutic interventions during MRCP contributes to its lower overall cost. The reduced cost makes MRCP a more accessible option for many patients and healthcare systems, particularly in settings where resources are limited or where rapid, cost-effective diagnosis is required.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), in contrast, is an invasive procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. The cost of ERCP can be significantly higher than that of MRCP, especially if therapeutic interventions such as gallstone removal or stent placement are performed. The procedure involves the use of an endoscope, which is inserted through the mouth and navigated through the digestive tract to the duodenum. A contrast dye is then injected into the bile and pancreatic ducts, and X-rays are taken. The invasive nature of ERCP necessitates the use of sedation and sometimes anesthesia, both of which add to the overall cost. Additionally, the procedure often requires specialized surgical facilities and a team of healthcare professionals, including an endoscopist, an anesthetist, and nursing staff, further increasing the cost.

The higher cost of ERCP also reflects the complexity and versatility of the procedure. ERCP can provide both diagnostic imaging and immediate therapeutic intervention, eliminating the need for a separate therapeutic procedure. While this dual functionality can make ERCP a cost-effective option in cases where both diagnosis and treatment are required, it also means that the procedure is generally more resource-intensive than MRCP. Patients undergoing ERCP may also require a longer hospital stay for post-procedure monitoring, especially if complications arise, adding to the overall cost. It's important to note that the cost-effectiveness of MRCP versus ERCP may vary depending on the specific medical condition being addressed and the healthcare system in which the procedures are performed. In some cases, the ability of ERCP to provide immediate therapeutic intervention may offset its higher initial cost by reducing the need for additional procedures. Conversely, the lower cost of MRCP may make it a more viable option for initial diagnostic screening, with ERCP reserved for cases requiring therapeutic intervention.

MRCP and ERCP have different cost profiles due to the nature of the respective procedures. MRCP, being non-invasive, is generally less expensive, making it a more accessible option for diagnostic imaging. ERCP, however, can be more costly, especially if therapeutic interventions are performed, but offers the advantage of immediate treatment following diagnosis. The choice between the two procedures will often depend on a variety of factors, including the patient's medical condition, the diagnostic and therapeutic needs, and the resources available within the healthcare system.

Accuracy

Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) is a non-invasive imaging technique that is highly regarded for its ability to produce high-resolution images, particularly of the bile and pancreatic ducts. The technique employs magnetic fields and radio waves to generate detailed images, providing excellent visualization of anatomical structures. This high level of detail is particularly useful for diagnosing a variety of conditions affecting these ductal systems, such as gallstones, strictures, and tumors. The high-resolution images also offer excellent soft tissue contrast, allowing healthcare providers to make more accurate diagnoses, which in turn facilitates timely and appropriate medical intervention.

However, despite its advantages, MRCP may not be as accurate as Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in certain conditions. ERCP is an invasive procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. It involves the insertion of an endoscope through the mouth, down the esophagus, through the stomach, and into the duodenum. A contrast dye is then injected into the bile and pancreatic ducts, and X-rays are taken. The direct visualization and the ability to perform immediate therapeutic interventions, such as biopsies or stent placements, often make ERCP more

accurate for diagnosing and treating certain conditions. For example, ERCP may be more effective in identifying small stones or subtle abnormalities within the ducts.

The choice between MRCP and ERCP often depends on the specific medical condition being investigated and the diagnostic and therapeutic needs of the patient. MRCP is generally the preferred initial diagnostic tool due to its non-invasive nature and lower risk profile. It is particularly useful for patients who are not good candidates for invasive procedures, such as those with certain comorbidities or those who are allergic to contrast dyes. However, if the MRCP results are inconclusive, or if therapeutic intervention is required, ERCP may be the more appropriate choice despite its higher risk profile and more extensive pre- and post-procedure requirements.

The higher accuracy of ERCP in certain conditions is balanced by its invasive nature and the associated risks, such as infection, bleeding, and pancreatitis. Therefore, a thorough evaluation of the patient's medical history, the nature of the suspected condition, and the risks and benefits of each procedure is essential for making an informed decision. Patients are also informed of the risks and benefits of each procedure and are required to provide informed consent.

Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is an invasive medical procedure that serves both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. One of the most significant advantages of ERCP is its ability to provide direct visualization of the bile and pancreatic ducts, making it highly accurate for diagnosing and treating a variety of conditions affecting these areas. The procedure involves the insertion of an endoscope through the mouth, down the esophagus, through the stomach, and into the duodenum, the first part of the small intestine. A contrast dye is then injected into the bile and pancreatic ducts to enhance their visibility under X-ray imaging. The direct visualization allows healthcare providers to closely examine the ducts for abnormalities such as gallstones, strictures, or tumors.

The ability to perform immediate therapeutic interventions is another feature that sets ERCP apart from non-invasive imaging techniques like Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). During ERCP, if abnormalities are detected, healthcare providers can take immediate action, such as removing gallstones, taking biopsies, or placing stents to facilitate bile flow. This dual functionality of both diagnosis and treatment in a single session enhances the procedure's accuracy and efficiency. It also eliminates the need for a separate therapeutic procedure, which can be both time-consuming and costly.

However, the high accuracy and therapeutic capabilities of ERCP come at the cost of a higher risk profile compared to non-invasive methods like MRCP. The invasive nature of ERCP carries inherent risks, such as infection, bleeding, and pancreatitis. Patients are generally sedated during the procedure, adding another layer of complexity and potential risk, including adverse reactions to the sedative medications. Therefore, careful patient selection and thorough pre-procedure assessment are crucial to minimize these risks.

The choice between ERCP and other diagnostic methods often depends on a variety of factors, including the specific medical condition being investigated, the patient's overall health, and the diagnostic and therapeutic needs. ERCP is generally reserved for cases where its high accuracy and therapeutic capabilities are likely to provide significant benefits that outweigh the associated risks. Patients are informed of these risks and benefits prior to the procedure and are required to provide informed consent.

Conclusion

The resolution and accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) differ significantly. MRCP employs magnetic fields and radio waves to produce high-resolution images, which are particularly useful for soft tissue differentiation. The images generated can provide detailed views of the bile and pancreatic ducts, making it

easier to identify obstructions or anomalies. However, MRCP is generally considered less accurate than ERCP for certain conditions, such as small gallstones or subtle forms of inflammation.

ERCP, on the other hand, provides real-time fluoroscopic imaging through the injection of a contrast dye into the bile and pancreatic ducts. This allows for a more dynamic assessment of these structures. The technique is highly accurate and can even be used for therapeutic interventions like stent placement or gallstone removal. However, the quality of the images is highly dependent on the skill of the operator, and the procedure itself is more complex, requiring specialized training for both endoscopists and radiologists.

The speed and convenience of both procedures also vary. MRCP is generally quicker and requires less preparation than ERCP. Patients undergoing MRCP do not need to be sedated, and the procedure can often be completed within 30 to 45 minutes. There is also no need for hospital admission in most cases, making it a more convenient option for patients and healthcare providers alike. ERCP, however, usually requires sedation and sometimes general anesthesia. The procedure can take longer, especially if therapeutic interventions are performed, and often necessitates a hospital stay for monitoring potential complications.

The cost implications of MRCP and ERCP are another important consideration. MRCP, being a non-invasive procedure, generally incurs lower costs related to hospital stay and anesthesia. However, the cost of the MRI machine and its maintenance can be quite high, which may be reflected in the overall cost of the procedure. ERCP, being both diagnostic and therapeutic, can sometimes be more cost-effective if a therapeutic intervention is needed, despite the higher risks and longer hospital stay. However, the costs can escalate quickly if complications occur, requiring further medical intervention and extended hospitalization.

Lastly, patient selection plays a crucial role in determining the most appropriate procedure. MRCP is often the first choice for patients who are at higher risk for complications from invasive procedures, such as those with coagulation disorders or severe comorbidities. ERCP is generally reserved for cases where therapeutic intervention is likely needed, or when a more detailed and dynamic assessment of the bile and pancreatic ducts is required. The choice between MRCP and ERCP should be made carefully, taking into account the specific diagnostic needs, the potential risks, and the overall health condition of the patient.

The duration of MRCP and ERCP procedures varies considerably. MRCP is generally quicker, usually taking between 15 to 45 minutes to complete. This shorter duration is largely due to the non-invasive nature of the procedure, which eliminates the need for preparatory steps like anesthesia. ERCP, in contrast, can take anywhere from 30 minutes to several hours, depending on whether therapeutic interventions such as stent placement or gallstone removal are needed. The longer duration for ERCP is also influenced by the time needed for anesthesia and the complexity of navigating the endoscope through the gastrointestinal tract.

Preparation requirements for the two procedures also differ. For MRCP, patients may be asked to fast for a few hours before the procedure to ensure that the gastrointestinal tract is empty, which aids in clearer imaging [56], [57]. ERCP requires more extensive preparation; patients usually need to fast for at least 8 hours and may also be required to stop certain medications that could interfere with the procedure. This is crucial to minimize the risks associated with this invasive technique, such as bleeding or infection.

Recovery times post-procedure are another point of divergence between MRCP and ERCP. After an MRCP, patients can usually go home immediately and resume normal activities, given the non-invasive nature of the procedure. ERCP, however, often necessitates a hospital stay ranging from a few hours to several days, depending on the complexity of the procedure and the occurrence of any complications like pancreatitis

or perforation. This extended recovery period is also influenced by the need for anesthesia during the procedure, which requires additional time for the patient to be monitored as the effects wear off [58], [59].

Cost considerations between MRCP and ERCP are influenced by multiple factors, including the need for hospitalization and the potential for therapeutic interventions. MRCP is generally less expensive as it is a non-invasive imaging procedure that usually doesn't require hospital admission. However, the high cost of MRI technology can sometimes offset these savings. ERCP can be more costly, especially if therapeutic interventions are performed. The costs can also escalate if complications arise, necessitating further medical treatment and a longer hospital stay. The accuracy of MRCP and ERCP in diagnosing conditions of the bile ducts, pancreatic duct, and gallbladder is a critical factor in choosing between the two. MRCP offers high-resolution images that are excellent for visualizing anatomical structures but may lack the specificity needed for certain conditions. ERCP provides the advantage of direct visualization and the ability to perform interventions, making it highly accurate for both diagnosis and treatment.

References

[1] S. Kondo *et al.*, "Detection of common bile duct stones: comparison between endoscopic ultrasonography, magnetic resonance cholangiography, and helical-computed-tomographic cholangiography," *Eur. J. Radiol.*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 271–275, May 2005.

[2] H. E. Adamek, J. Albert, M. Weitz, H. Breer, D. Schilling, and J. F. Riemann, "A prospective evaluation of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in patients with suspected bile duct obstruction," *Gut*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 680–683, Nov. 1998.

[3] R. A. Pugliesi, "Efficacy of pineapple juice as a negative oral contrast agent in magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography," 2021.

[4] V. de Lédinghen *et al.*, "Diagnosis of choledocholithiasis: EUS or magnetic resonance cholangiography? A prospective controlled study," *Gastrointest. Endosc.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 26–31, Jan. 1999.

[5] N. Griffin, M. L. Wastle, W. K. Dunn, S. D. Ryder, and I. J. Beckingham, "Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in the diagnosis of choledocholithiasis," *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 809–813, Jul. 2003.

[6] N. A. Tipnis, K. S. Dua, and S. L. Werlin, "A retrospective assessment of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in children," *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 59–64, Jan. 2008.

[7] A. Aljarbouh and B. Caillaud, "On the regularization of chattering executions in real time simulation of hybrid systems," 2015, p. 49.

[8] R. Jabeur, Y. Boujoudar, M. Azeroual, A. Aljarbouh, and N. Ouaaline, "Microgrid energy management system for smart home using multi-agent system," *Int. J. Elect. Computer Syst. Eng.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1153–1160, 2022.

[9] I. Pozharkova, A. Aljarbouh, S. H. Azizam, A. P. Mohamed, F. Rabbi, and R. Tsarev, "A simulation modeling method for cooling building structures by fire robots," 2022, pp. 504–511.

[10] Y. Liang and W. Liang, "ResWCAE: Biometric Pattern Image Denoising Using Residual Wavelet-Conditioned Autoencoder," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.12255*, 2023.

[11] W. Chen, J.-J. Mo, L. Lin, C.-Q. Li, and J.-F. Zhang, "Diagnostic value of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in choledocholithiasis," *World J. Gastroenterol.*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 3351–3360, Mar. 2015.

[12] J. H. Chang *et al.*, "Role of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography for choledocholithiasis: analysis of patients with negative MRCP," *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 217–224, Feb. 2012.

[13] R. E. Hintze, H. Abou-Rebyeh, A. Adler, W. Veltzke-Schlieker, R. Felix, and B. Wiedenmann, "Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography-guided unilateral endoscopic stent placement for Klatskin tumors," *Gastrointest. Endosc.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 40–46, Jan. 2001.

[14] M. Sugiyama, M. Baba, Y. Atomi, H. Hanaoka, Y. Mizutani, and J. Hachiya, "Diagnosis of anomalous pancreaticobiliary junction: value of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography," *Surgery*, vol. 123, no. 4, pp. 391–397, Apr. 1998.
[15] M. Azeroual, Y. Boujoudar, A. Aljarbouh, H. El Moussaoui, and H. El Markhi, "A multi-agent-based for fault location in distribution networks with wind power generator," *Wind Engineering*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 700–711, 2022.
[16] A. Aljarbouh and B. Caillaud, "Robust simulation for hybrid systems: chattering path avoidance," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.07818*, 2015.
[17] S. Alahmari *et al.*, "Hybrid Multi-Strategy Aquila Optimization with Deep Learning Driven Crop Type Classification on Hyperspectral Images," *Comput. Syst. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 375–391, 2023.
[18] Z. Bai, R. Yang, and Y. Liang, "Mental task classification using electroencephalogram signal," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03023*, 2019.
[19] S. Yonbawi *et al.*, "Modified Metaheuristics with Transfer Learning Based Insect Pest Classification for Agricultural Crops," *Computer Systems Science & Engineering*, vol. 46, no. 3, 2023.
[20] A. Aljarbouh, M. S. Ahmed, M. Vaquera, and B. D. Dirting, "Intellectualization of information processing systems for monitoring complex objects and systems," *Современные инновации, системы и технологии*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 9–17, 2022.
[21] J. M. Scheiman *et al.*, "Can endoscopic ultrasound or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography replace ERCP in patients with suspected biliary disease? A prospective trial and cost analysis," *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, vol. 96, no. 10, pp. 2900–2904, Oct. 2001.
[22] C. J. McMahon, "The relative roles of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and endoscopic ultrasound in diagnosis of common bile duct calculi: a critically appraised topic," *Abdom. Imaging*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 6–9, Jan-Feb 2008.
[23] J. C. Varghese, R. P. Liddell, M. A. Farrell, F. E. Murray, H. Osborne, and M. J. Lee, "The diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography and ultrasound compared with direct cholangiography in the detection of choledocholithiasis," *Clin. Radiol.*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 604–614, Sep. 1999.
[24] J. Romagnuolo, M. Bardou, E. Rahme, L. Joseph, C. Reinhold, and A. N. Barkun, "Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography: a meta-analysis of test performance in suspected biliary disease," *Ann. Intern. Med.*, vol. 139, no. 7, pp. 547–557, Oct. 2003.
[25] N. A. Tipnis and S. L. Werlin, "The use of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in children," *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 225–229, Jun. 2007.
[26] A. Aljarbouh, "Selection of the optimal set of versions of N-version software using the ant colony optimization," 2021, vol. 2094, p. 032026.
[27] A. Aljarbouh *et al.*, "Application of the K-medians Clustering Algorithm for Test Analysis in E-learning," in *Proceedings of the Computational Methods in Systems and Software*, Springer, 2022, pp. 249–256.
[28] A. J. Albarakati *et al.*, "Real-time energy management for DC microgrids using artificial intelligence," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 17, p. 5307, 2021.
[29] S. J. Diehl, K. J. Lehmann, J. Gaa, H. J. Meier-Willersen, K. Wendl, and M. Georgi, "The value of magnetic resonance tomography (MRT), magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in the diagnosis of pancreatic tumors," *Rofo*, vol. 170, no. 5, pp. 463–469, May 1999.
[30] S. Pamos *et al.*, "Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP): diagnostic usefulness," *Gastroenterol. Hepatol.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 174–180, Apr. 1998.
[31] I. Trifonov, A. Aljarbouh, and A. Beketaeva, "Evaluating the effectiveness of turbulence models in the simulation of two-phases combustion," *International Review on Modelling and Simulations*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 291–300, 2021.
[32] Y. Zhu *et al.*, "Application of Physics-Informed Neural Network (PINN) in the Experimental Study of Vortex-Induced Vibration with Tunable Stiffness," in *The 33rd International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference*, 2023.
[33] V. Shanmugam, G. C. Beattie, S. R. Yule, W. Reid, and M. A. Loudon, "Is magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography the new gold standard in biliary imaging?," *Br. J. Radiol.*, vol. 78, no. 934, pp. 888–893, Oct. 2005.

[34] J. D. Aydelotte, J. Ali, P. T. Huynh, T. B. Coopwood, J. M. Uecker, and C. V. R. Brown, “Use of Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography in Clinical Practice: Not as Good as We Once Thought,” *J. Am. Coll. Surg.*, vol. 221, no. 1, pp. 215–219, Jul. 2015.
[35] E. Lee, F. Rabbi, H. Almashaqbeh, A. Aljarbouh, J. Ascencio, and N. V. Bystrova, “The issue of software reliability in program code cloning,” 2023, vol. 2700.
[36] A. Aljarbouh, A. Duracz, Y. Zeng, B. Caillaud, and W. Taha, “Chattering-free simulation for hybrid dynamical systems,” *HAL*, vol. 2016, 2016.
[37] A. J. Albarakati *et al.*, “Microgrid energy management and monitoring systems: A comprehensive review,” *Frontiers in Energy Research*, vol. 10, p. 1097858, 2022.
[38] Y. Liang, W. Liang, and J. Jia, “Structural Vibration Signal Denoising Using Stacking Ensemble of Hybrid CNN-RNN,” *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv-2303, 2023.
[39] J. A. Albarakati *et al.*, “Multi-Agent-Based Fault Location and Cyber-Attack Detection in Distribution System,” *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 224, 2022.
[40] Y. Boujoudar *et al.*, “Fuzzy logic-based controller of the bidirectional direct current to direct current converter in microgrid,” *Int. J. Elect. Computer Syst. Eng.*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 4789–4797, 2023.
[41] A. Aljarbouh, “Accelerated Simulation of Hybrid Systems: Method combining static analysis and run-time execution analysis.(Simulation Accélérée des Systèmes Hybrides: méthode combinant analyse statique et analyse à l’exécution).” University of Rennes 1, France, 2017.
[42] A. Aljarbouh, “Accelerated simulation of hybrid systems: method combining static analysis and run-time execution analysis.” Rennes 1, 2017.
[43] A. Aljarbouh, “Non-standard zeno-free simulation semantics for hybrid dynamical systems,” 2019, pp. 16–31.
[44] A. Aljarbouh, M. Fayaz, and M. S. Qureshi, “Non-Standard Analysis for Regularization of Geometric-Zeno Behaviour in Hybrid Systems,” *Systems*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 15, 2020.
[45] V. Rutskiy *et al.*, “Prospects for the Use of Artificial Intelligence to Combat Fraud in Bank Payments,” in *Proceedings of the Computational Methods in Systems and Software*, Springer, 2022, pp. 959–971.
[46] N. Sharmili *et al.*, “Earthworm Optimization with Improved SqueezeNet Enabled Facial Expression Recognition Model,” *Computer Systems Science & Engineering*, vol. 46, no. 2, 2023.
[47] A. Aljarbouh and B. Caillaud, “Chattering-free simulation of hybrid dynamical systems with the functional mock-up interface 2.0,” 2016, vol. 124, pp. 95–105.
[48] D. Nelson-Gruel, Y. Chamaillard, and A. Aljarbouh, “Modeling and estimation of the pollutants emissions in the Compression Ignition diesel engine,” 2016, pp. 317–322.
[49] X. Wu, Z. Bai, J. Jia, and Y. Liang, “A Multi-Variate Triple-Regression Forecasting Algorithm for Long-Term Customized Allergy Season Prediction,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.04557*, 2020.
[50] A. Duracz *et al.*, “Advanced hazard analysis and risk assessment in the ISO 26262 functional safety standard using rigorous simulation,” 2020, pp. 108–126.
[51] Y. Liang, “Structural Vibration Signal Denoising Using Stacking Ensemble of Hybrid CNN-RNN. Advances in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. 2022; 3 (2): 65.” 2006.
[52] A. A. A. Ahmed, A. Aljabouh, P. K. Donepudi, and M. S. Choi, “Detecting fake news using machine learning: A systematic literature review,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04458*, 2021.
[53] A. Aljarbouh, Y. Zeng, A. Duracz, B. Caillaud, and W. Taha, “Chattering-free simulation for hybrid dynamical systems semantics and prototype implementation,” 2016, pp. 412–422.
[54] I. Haq *et al.*, “Machine Vision Approach for Diagnosing Tuberculosis (TB) Based on Computerized Tomography (CT) Scan Images,” *Symmetry* , vol. 14, no. 10, p. 1997, 2022.
[55] A. Chavez, D. Koutentakis, Y. Liang, S. Tripathy, and J. Yun, “Identify statistical similarities and differences between the deadliest cancer types through gene expression,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07847*, 2019.
[56] C.-T. Huang, H.-C. Lee, W.-T. Chen, C.-B. Jiang, S.-L. Shih, and C.-Y. Yeung, “Usefulness of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in pancreatobiliary

abnormalities in pediatric patients," *Pediatr. Neonatol.*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 332–336, Dec. 2011.

[57] G. Fernández-Esparrach *et al.*, "Comparison of endoscopic ultrasonography and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in the diagnosis of pancreatobiliary diseases: a prospective study," *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, vol. 102, no. 8, pp. 1632–1639, Aug. 2007.

[58] T. Asselah, O. Ernst, G. Sergent, C. L'herminé, and J. C. Paris, "Caroli's disease: a magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography diagnosis," *Am. J. Gastroenterol.*, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 109–110, Jan. 1998.

[59] J. R. Dillman, R. M. Patel, T. K. Lin, A. J. Towbin, and A. T. Trout, "Diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) versus endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in the pediatric population: a clinical effectiveness study," *Abdom. Radiol. (NY)*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 2377–2383, Jul. 2019.